IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

CRIM. NO. 17-005 (ADC)

v.

WILLIAMS SANCHEZ-VICENTE, Defendant.

PLEA AGREEMENT (Pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(A) & (B) FRCP)

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

COMES NOW the United States of America, by and through its attorneys, Rosa Emilia Rodriguez-Velez, United States Attorney for the District of Puerto Rico, José Capó Iriarte, Assistant United States Attorney, Chief Criminal Division, Myriam Y. Fernandez Gonzalez, Assistant United States Attorney, Deputy Chief, Financial Fraud & Corruption Unit, and Susan Z. Jorgensen, Assistant United States Attorney for said District, and WILLIAMS SANCHEZ-VICENTE, the Defendant, by and through the Defendant's counsel, JUAN J. MICHELEN, Esquire, pursuant to Rule 11(c)(1)(A) & (B) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, and state to this Honorable Court, that they have reached an agreement, the terms and conditions of which are as follows:

1. COUNT TO WHICH THE DEFENDANT PLEADS GUILTY

The Defendant agrees to plead guilty to COUNT THREE of the Indictment, which charges:

Count Three:

Aggravated Identity Theft

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1028A(a)(1)

On or about April 21, 2016, in the District of Puerto Rico and elsewhere within the

jurisdiction of this Court,

Williams Sanchez-Vicente, a/k/a "Mario Manuel Marrero Gascot", a/k/a "Ramon Sanchez

Perez".

the Defendant herein, during and in relation to any felony violation enumerated in Title 18, United

States Code, Section 1028A(c)(7), to wit, mail fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1341), and/or making a false

statement in application for a passport (18 U.S.C. § 1542), knowingly used, without lawful

authority, a means of identification of another person, specifically that of Mario Manuel Marrero

Gascot, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1028A(a)(1).

2. MAXIMUM PENALTIES

Count Three: the Defendant understands that in relation to Count Three of the Indictment,

the Defendant shall be sentenced to a mandatory term of imprisonment of two (2) years to be

served consecutively to any other term of imprisonment. The Defendant further understands that

the Court may impose a fine of not more than Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00)

and a term of supervised release of not more one (1) year. See 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028A(a)(1) and (b);

3559(a)(5); 3571(b)(3); 3583(b)(3) (Class E Felony).

3. SENTENCING GUIDELINES APPLICABILITY

The Defendant understands that the sentence will be left entirely to the sound discretion of

the Court in accordance with 18 U.S.C. §§ 3551-86, and the United States Sentencing Guidelines

(hereinafter "Guidelines"), which have been rendered advisory by the United States Supreme

Court decision in the consolidated cases United States v. Booker and United States v. Fanfan, 543

U.S. 220 (2005). Further, the Defendant acknowledges that parole has been abolished and that the

imposition of the sentence may not be suspended.

4. SPECIAL MONETARY ASSESSMENT

The Defendant agrees to pay a special monetary assessment of one hundred dollars

(\$100.00) per count of conviction to be deposited in the Crime Victim Fund, pursuant to Title 18,

<u>United States Code</u>, Section 3013(a).

5. FINES AND RESTITUTION

The Defendant is aware that the Court may, pursuant to Section 5E1.2 of the Sentencing

Guidelines Manual, order the Defendant to pay a fine sufficient to reimburse the government for

the costs of any imprisonment, probation or supervised release ordered. The Court may also

impose restitution.

6. **RULE 11(c)(1)(B) WARNINGS**

The Defendant is aware that the sentence is within the sound discretion of the sentencing

judge and of the advisory nature of the Guidelines, including the Guidelines Policy Statements,

Application, and Background Notes. Further, the Defendant understands and acknowledges that

the Court is not a party to this Plea Agreement and thus, is not bound by this Agreement or the

sentencing calculations and recommendations contained herein. The Defendant specifically

acknowledges that the Court has jurisdiction and authority to impose any sentence within the

statutory maximum set for the offenses to which the Defendant is pleading guilty. The Defendant

is aware that the Court may accept or reject the Plea Agreement, or may defer its decision whether

to accept or reject the Plea Agreement until it has considered the pre-sentence investigation report.

See Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(3)(A). Should the Court impose a sentence up to the maximum established by statute, the Defendant cannot, for that reason alone, withdraw the guilty plea, and will remain bound to fulfill all of the obligations under this Plea Agreement. See Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(3)(B).

7. UNITED STATES RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

The United States reserves the right to carry out its responsibilities under Guidelines sentencing. Specifically, the United States reserves the right: (a) to bring its version of the facts of this case including its file and any investigative files to the attention of the Probation Office in connection with that office's preparation of a presentence report; (b) to dispute sentencing factors or facts material to sentencing; (c) to seek resolution of such factors or facts in conference with opposing counsel and the Probation Office.

8. APPLICABILITY OF UNITED STATES SENTENCING GUIDELINES

The Defendant is aware that pursuant to the decisions issued by the Supreme Court of the United States in the consolidated cases of <u>United States v. Booker and United States v. Fanfan</u>, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), the Guidelines are no longer mandatory and must be considered effectively advisory. Therefore, after due consideration of the relevant factors enumerated in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), the United States and the Defendant submit the following advisory Guideline calculations:

RECOMMENDED SENTENCING GUIDELINE CALCULATION	
	E.G.V. J.J.M.
Count Twelve Three	
U.S.S.G. § 2B1.6 - (term required by 18 U.S.C. § 1028A)	Mandatory
A mandatory term of 24 months pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1)	24 months of
	imprisonment

9. NO STIPULATION AS TO CRIMINAL HISTORY CATEGORY

The parties do not stipulate as to any Criminal History Category (CHC) for the Defendant.

10. SENTENCE RECOMMENDATION

The parties agree that WILLIAMS SANCHEZ-VICENTE shall be sentenced to a term

of two (2) years of imprisonment for Count Three, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1).

The Defendant agrees that this sentence recommendation is reasonable pursuant to Title

18, United States Code, Section 3553(a). The parties further agree that any recommendation by

either party for a term of imprisonment below or above the stipulated sentence recommendation

constitutes a material breach of the Plea Agreement.

11. WAIVER OF APPEAL

The Defendant knowingly and voluntarily agrees that, if the imprisonment sentence

imposed by the Court is 24 months or less, the Defendant waives the right to appeal any aspect of

this case's judgment and sentence, including but not limited to the term of imprisonment or

probation, restitution, fines, forfeiture, and the term and conditions of supervised release.

12. FURTHER ADJUSTMENTS, DEPARTURES OR VARIANCE

The United States and the Defendant agree that no further adjustments or departures to

the Defendant's total adjusted base offense level and no variant sentence under 18 USC § 3553

shall be sought by the parties. The parties agree that any request by the Defendant for an

adjustment or departure will be considered a material breach of this Plea Agreement, and the

United States will be free to ask for any sentence, either guideline or statutory.

13. DISMISSAL OF REMAINING COUNTS

The remaining counts of the Indictment will be dismissed at the time of sentencing unless

the Defendant has materially breached this Plea Agreement.

14. SATISFACTION WITH COUNSEL

The Defendant represents to the Court to be satisfied with counsel, Juan J. Michelen, and

indicates that counsel has rendered effective legal assistance.

15. RIGHTS SURRENDERED BY DEFENDANT THROUGH GUILTY PLEA

The Defendant understands that by entering into this Plea Agreement, the Defendant

surrenders certain rights, which include the following:

a. If the Defendant had persisted in a plea of not guilty to the charges, the Defendant

would have had the right to a speedy jury trial with the assistance of counsel. The trial may be

conducted by a judge sitting without a jury if the Defendant, the United States and the judge agree.

b. If a jury trial is conducted, the jury would be composed of twelve lay persons

selected at random. The Defendant and the Defendant's attorney would assist in selecting the

jurors by removing prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is

shown, or by removing prospective jurors without cause by exercising peremptory challenges. The

jury would have to agree, unanimously, before it could return a verdict of either guilty or not guilty.

The jury would be instructed that the Defendant is presumed innocent, that it could not convict the

Defendant unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of the Defendant's guilt beyond

a reasonable doubt, and that it was to consider each charge separately.

c. If a trial is held by the judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and, after

hearing all the evidence and considering each count separately, determine whether or not the

evidence established the Defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.

d. At a trial, the United States would be required to present its witnesses and other

evidence against the Defendant. The Defendant would be able to confront those witnesses and the

Defendant's attorney would be able to cross-examine them. In turn, the Defendant could present

witnesses and other evidence on the Defendant's own behalf. If the witnesses for the Defendant

would not appear voluntarily, the Defendant could require their attendance through the subpoena

power of the Court.

e. At a trial, the Defendant could rely on the privilege against self-incrimination to

decline to testify, and no inference of guilt could be drawn from the Defendant's refusal to testify.

If the Defendant desired to do so, the Defendant could testify on the Defendant's own behalf.

16. POTENTIAL IMPACT ON IMMIGRATION STATUS

Defendant affirms that he is not a United States citizen. Pursuant to Rule 11(b)(1)(O) of

the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, the Defendant hereby agrees and recognizes that, if

convicted, a defendant who is not a United States citizen may be removed from the United States,

denied citizenship, and denied admission to the United States in the future.

17. FELONY CONVICTION

The Defendant hereby agrees and recognizes that the plea of guilty in this case will be

recognized as a felony conviction, which will result in the loss of certain rights, including, but not

limited to, the right to vote in a federal election, to serve as a juror, to hold public office, and to

lawfully possess a firearm.

Plea Agreement
United States of America v. Williams Sanchez-Vicente, CR 17-005 (ADC)

Page 7 of 13

18. STATEMENT OF FACTS

The accompanying Statement of Facts signed by the Defendant is hereby incorporated into

this Plea Agreement. The Defendant adopts the Statement of Facts and agrees that the facts therein

are accurate in every respect and, had the matter proceeded to trial, that the United States would

have proven those facts beyond a reasonable doubt. Further, the Defendant agrees that said

statement of facts may be used by the sentencing judge in determining the application of any

sentencing guidelines in the instant case.

19. FORFEITURE

N/A.

20. LIMITATIONS OF PLEA AGREEMENT

The Defendant is fully aware that the Court is not bound by this Plea Agreement, including,

but not limited to: advisory sentencing guidelines calculations, stipulations, and/or sentence

recommendations. In addition, this Plea Agreement binds only the United States Attorney's Office

for the District of Puerto Rico and the Defendant; it does not bind any other federal district, state

or local authorities.

23. ENTIRETY OF PLEA AGREEMENT

This written agreement and the supplement constitute the complete Plea Agreement

between the United States, the Defendant, and the Defendant's counsel. The United States has

made no promises or representations except as set forth in writing in this Plea Agreement and deny

the existence of any other term and conditions not stated herein.

24. AMENDMENTS TO PLEA AGREEMENT

No other promises, terms or conditions will be entered unless in writing and signed by all

parties.

25. VOLUNTARINESS OF GUILTY PLEA

The Defendant acknowledges that no threats have been made against the Defendant and

that the Defendant is pleading guilty freely and voluntarily because the Defendant is guilty.

26. VIOLATION OF BAIL PROVISIONS AND/OR FAILURE TO APPEAR AT

SENTENCING

The Defendant agrees that any violation of the conditions of release set by the Court or

failure to appear at the Sentencing Hearing will be considered a material breach of this Plea

Agreement and the United States will be free to ask for any sentence, either guideline or statutory,

including an adjustment pursuant to Section 3C1.1 of the United States Sentencing Guidelines for

Obstruction or Impeding the Administration of Justice.

[REST OF PAGE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK]

United States Attorney JOSÉ CAPÓ TRIARTE Assistant United States Chief, Criminal Division Dated: Dated: MYRIAM VIFERNANDEZ GONZALEZ Assistant United States Attorney Deputy Chief, Financial Frand & Corruption Unit Dated: Dated:

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I have consulted with my counsel and fully understand all of my rights with respect to the

Indictment pending against me. Further, I have consulted with my attorney and fully understand

my rights with respect to the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines, Policy Statements,

Application, and Background Notes which may apply in my case. My counsel has translated the

Plea Agreement to me in the Spanish language and I have no doubts as to the contents of the

agreement. I fully understand this agreement and I voluntarily agree to it.

Defendant

I am the attorney for the Defendant. I have fully explained to the Defendant his rights with

respect to the pending Indictment. Further, I have reviewed the provisions of the Sentencing

Guidelines, Policy Statements, Application, and Background Notes, and I have fully explained to

the Defendant the provisions of those guidelines that may apply in this case. I have carefully

reviewed every part this Plea Agreement with the Defendant. To my knowledge, the Defendant is

entering into this agreement voluntarily, intelligently and with full knowledge of all consequences

of his guilty plea.

JUAN J. MICHELEN

Counsel for the Defendant

STIPULATION OF FACTS

In conjunction with the submission of the accompanying Plea Agreement in this case, the

United States of America and the Defendant, WILLIAMS SANCHEZ-VICENTE, agree that the

following statement provides a true and accurate summary of the facts leading to Defendant's

acceptance of criminal responsibility for the violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section

1028A(a)(1).

On or about April 21, 2016, in the District of Puerto Rico, and elsewhere within the

jurisdiction of this Court, defendant WILLIAMS SANCHEZ-VICENTE, during and in relation

to any felony violation enumerated in Title 18, United States Code, Section 1028A(c)(7), to wit,

mail fraud (18 U.S.C. § 1341), and/or making a false statement in application for a passport (18

U.S.C. § 1542), knowingly used, without lawful authority, a means of identification of another

person, specifically that of Mario Manuel Marrero Gascot, in violation of Title 18, United States

Code, Section 1028A(a)(1), as charged in Count Three, knowing that the means of identification

belonged to another actual person, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections

1028A(a)(1), 2(a), and 2(b).

This Statement of Facts is only a summary and does not include all relevant facts known

by Defendant WILLIAMS SANCHEZ-VICENTE pertaining to his involvement in the

aggravated identity theft offense.

If this matter had proceeded to trial, the United States would have proven beyond a

reasonable doubt that Defendant WILLIAMS SANCHEZ-VICENTE is guilty as charged in

Count Three of the Indictment. This would have been proven through documentary and

testimonial evidence, including, but not limited to, testimony of employees of the United States

Post Office, testimony of law enforcement officers, records from the United States Department of

State, Post Office and Social Security Administration, photographs, videos, audio recordings, as well as other documentation and physical evidence.

Discovery was timely made available to the Defendant for review.

WILLIAMS SANCHEZ-VICENTE

Defendant

Dated:

SUSAN Z. JORGENSEN

Assistant United States Attorney

Dated: 9/15/17

JUAN J. MICHELEN

Counsel for Defendant

Dated: 11 7 17